## DFW HIGH-SPEED TRANSPORTATION LEVEL 3 ALIGNMENTS/MODES



 $\bullet$  = High  $\Theta$  = Medium O = Low

## Level 3 Alignment Evaluation Results

		IH-30 Alignments					SH 180		
Criteria		12	13	14	15	17	18	26	37
Potential Impacts to Sensitive Social, Biological, or Cultural Areas	Minimize Potential Water Body and Floodplain Impacts	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	•
	Minimize Potential Wetland Impacts	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
	Minimize existing structures that could be impacted by the potential ROW	•	•	0	•	•	•	0	0
	Minimize Potential Parks/Public Recreational Area Impacts	0	0	•	•	•	•	•	-
	Potential Historic Resources Impacts	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0
Potential Community Impacts	Minimize Noise/Vibration Impacts	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	•
	Minimize Visual/Aesthetic Impacts	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Constructability	Minimize Required Non-Public ROW	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	0
	Minimize Potential Adverse Impacts to Transportation Systems During Construction	•	•	•	•	•	•	<b>-</b>	0
	Potential Opportunity to improve Transportation Systems	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Design Consider- ations	Vertical Profile (Able to accommodate all modes)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Level 3 Alignment Recommendations		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0

## DFW HIGH-SPEED TRANSPORTATION LEVEL 3 ALIGNMENTS/MODES



 $\bullet$  = High  $\Theta$  = Medium O = Low

## Level 3 Mode Evaluation Results

		Modes					
	Criteria	High-Speed Rail	Maglev	Hyperloop			
Construction/Operability	Technology Maturity (Safety Systems)	•	•	•			
	Technology Maturity (Operations Systems)	•	•	•			
	Technology Maturity (Revenue Operation)	•	•	0			
	Potential to serve as an extension to planned high-speed systems	•	0	0			
	Minimize Potential Adverse Impacts to Transportation Systems	•	•	•			
Cost	Lowest Capital (Construction) Cost	•	0	•			
Operations and Maintenance	Fastest Travel Time	•	•	•			
	Vertical Profile (accommodates higher grades)	•	•	•			
	Maximum Curve Speed	0	•	•			
Level 3 Mode Recommendations		•	0	•			

= modes carried forward for further evaluation